# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4603-04

<u>Bill No.</u>: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 1614 <u>Subject</u>: Disabilities; Elementary and Secondary Education Department

Type: Original Date: June 3, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal adds dyslexia to the "special needs" definition for purposes

of Bryce's Law.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 1614

Page 2 of 5 June 3, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 1614

Page 3 of 5 June 3, 2014

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Social Services**, and the **Office of State Auditor** state this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** stated this proposed legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.

In response to previous versions of this bill, according to officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what their office can sustain with their core budget. Therefore, SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal with core funding. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** state that dyslexia is identified in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) regulations as a type of learning disability. DESE does not track dyslexia; but does track learning disabilities. There will be a cost (likely under \$10,000) to enhance the DESE data system to capture dyslexia separately.

**Oversight** assumes these tracking expenses will be absorbed with existing resources.

In response to the Perfected version of this proposal, officials from the **Kansas City Public School District** assumed little to no negative fiscal impact to their district associated with this

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 1614

Page 4 of 5 June 3, 2014

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

proposed legislation.

In response to the introduced version of this bill, officials from the **Special School District of St. Louis County** assumed this provision could have a nominal negative impact, but it is difficult to estimate the impact as dyslexia is not a separate disability type.

Also in response to the introduced version of this bill, officials from the **Nixa School District** assumed possible savings to school districts as a result of this proposed legislation.

**Oversight** assumes costs or savings to school districts would be minimal with the addition of dyslexia to the "special needs" definition and will, for fiscal note purposes only, show no fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

No direct fiscal impact is expected from this proposed legislation.

This proposal has a sunset clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

LMD:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 1614

Page 5 of 5 June 3, 2014

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Social Services

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of Secretary of State

Administrative Rules Division

Office of State Auditor

**School Districts** 

Kansas City

Nixa

Special School District of St. Louis County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director June 3, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director June 3, 2014